1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	HANNAH LEE (State Bar No. 253197) KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP 990 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: (650) 752-1700 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800 Email: hlee@kramerlevin.com TODD A. ROBERTS (State Bar No. 129722) MARTIN D. DIOLI (State Bar No. 172775) ROPERS MAJESKI PC 535 Middlefield Road, Suite 245 Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: (650) 364-8200 Facsimile: (650) 780-1701 Email: todd.roberts@ropers.com	MARC R. LEWIS (State Bar No. 233306) KENNETH M. WALCZAK (State Bar No. 247389) LEWIS & LLEWELLYN LLP 601 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel.: (415) 800-0590 Fax: (415) 390-2127 Email: kwalczak@lewisllewellyn.com mlewis@lewisllewellyn.com **Attorneys for Plaintiff** ALBERT RICHARDS	
14	JONATHAN ROGERS		
15	IN THE UNITED ST.	ATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17	OAKLAND DIVISION		
18			
19	ALBERT RICHARDS,	Case No.: 4:23-cv-00145-HSG	
20	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND	
21	v.	TIME TO OPPOSE AND REPLY TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS	
22	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC.; STEVEN	Civil L.R. 6-1(b), 6-2, 7-12	
23	ROGERS; JONATHAN ROGERS; and JOHN DOES 1-10,		
24	Defendants.		
25	Defendants.		
26			
27			
28			

STIP. TO EXT. TIME TO OPPOSE, REPLY TO MOT. TO DISMISS Case No. 4:23-cv-00145-HSG

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1(b), 6-2, and 7-12, Plaintiff Albert Richards ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Centripetal Networks, Inc., Steven Rogers and Jonathan Rogers (collectively, "Defendants") stipulate as follows:

Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint on January 23, 2023 (Docket No. 15). Defendants noticed the motion for hearing on March 3, 2023. *Id*.

Under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff's Opposition to that Motion would ordinarily be due on February 6, 2023, and Defendants' Reply would be due on February 13, 2023. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6; Civ. L.R. 7-3(a), 7-3(c). (The Federal Rules customarily give the Court one week to review a fully-briefed motion prior to hearing.)

However, on January 25, 2023, due to reassignment from a Magistrate Judge to a District Judge, Defendants re-noticed the Motion to Dismiss for hearing on **April 27, 2023**, and submitted an Amended Motion bearing that hearing date on the caption. (Docket No. 20.) The Court followed suit, setting the motion hearing for Defendants' chosen date. (Text-only docket entry, 1/25/23.)

As a result, there are now 76 days (nearly 11 weeks) between the hearing and the date on which the motion will be fully briefed. The parties have met and conferred, and agreed that the Opposition and Reply deadlines can be extended, while also providing the Court more than the customary seven days before hearing to consider the fully-briefed motion.

The parties have therefore agreed that:

- Plaintiff may file his Opposition to Motion to Dismiss no later than March 9, 2023;
- Defendants may file their Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss no later than April 6,
 2023.

The parties do not request any modification to the April 27, 2023 hearing date, and the proposed extensions will not alter the date of any event or deadline already fixed by Court order.

There has been one previous time modification in this case, extending the time to respond to the Complaint and Defendants' Administrative Motion to Seal. (Docket No. 12, filed 1/31/23.)

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
2	Dated: February 1, 2023]	LEWIS & LLEWELLYN LLP
3	,		
4		By: <i>s</i>	s/Kenneth M. Walczak
5			Marc R. Lewis Kenneth M. Walczak
7			
8			Attorneys for Plaintiff ALBERT RICHARDS
9			
10	Dated: February 1, 2023]	KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
11	,	ъ	/**
12		By: <i>s</i>	s/ Hannah Lee
13]	Hannah Lee
14]	ROPERS MAJESKI PC
15			Todd A. Roberts
16]	Martin D. Dioli
17			Attorneys for Defendants CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC., JONATHAN
18			ROGERS, AND STEVEN ROGERS
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		,	2
		-	2

ORDER Having reviewed the parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, the Court orders as follows: Plaintiff may file an Opposition brief to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss no later than March 9, 2023; Defendants may file their Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss, if any, no later than April 6, 2023. The hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss remains set for April 27, 2023, at 2:00 pm. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 2/2/2023 Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT